Reply to "Comment on 'Synchronization in a ring of four mutually coupled van der Pol oscillators: Theory and experiment' "

B. Nana and P. Woafo^{*}

Laboratory of Modelling and Simulation in Engineering and Biological Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Yaounde I, Box 812,

Yaounde, Cameroon

(Received 24 January 2008; published 28 April 2008)

The validity of desynchronization in Fig. 2 [B. Nana and P. Woafo, Phys. Rev. E **74**, 046213 (2006)] is justified by the fact that the oscillators are nonidentical (instead of identical as quoted in Perlikowski *et al.*) and by the role of phase differences in synchronization of self-sustained oscillators.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.77.048202

PACS number(s): 05.45.Xt

In their Comment [1], Perlikowski *et al.* questioned the results presented in Figs. 2 and 8 of Ref. [2]. As noted by Perlikowski *et al.*, Fig. 8 shows a quasiperiodic state instead of a chaotic one. As the results of Fig. 8 are concerned, the oscillators are not identical as said in the Comment. The driving signals are not the same for all coupled oscillators that are different in d_i , k_i , and F_i as in Sec. II B of [2] as imposed by experimental constraints. The quantities k and F on the axis of Fig. 2 are respectively the mean values $k = (k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4)/4$ and $F = (F_1+F_2+F_3+F_4)/4$. Typically, $F_1 = f + 0.13$, $F_2 = f + 0.133$, $F_3 = f + 0.132$, $F_4 = f + 0.134$, k_1

=c+0.23, $k_2=c+0.225$, $k_3=c+0.224$, $k_4=c+0.226$, and the parameters *f* and *c* are varied from -0.12 and -0.22, respectively. The identity of oscillators is assumed only for analytical consideration in view of having a sketch of the desynchronization boundaries. The desynchronization obtained in Fig. 2 is thus explained not only because of the difference in d_i , k_i , and F_i , but also by the fact that in the quasiperiodic state of the van der Pol oscillators, there are two oscillatory components, one due to the self-sustained oscillations and the other related to the driving signal. The self-sustained oscillators launched with different initial conditions will circulate on the same trajectory, but with different phases if there is no appropriate coupling coefficient.

- P. Perlikowski, A. Stefanski, and T. Kapitaniak, preceding paper, Phys. Rev. E 77, 048201 (2008).
- [2] B. Nana and P. Woafo, Phys. Rev. E 74, 046213 (2006).

^{*}Corresponding author. pwoafo1@yahoo.fr